## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

		y, et al., ntiff(s)	Case No. 2-12-cv-767 District Judge: Smith Magistrate Judge: King		
<u>The</u>	vs. e <b>Daily Gazette Company, e</b> Defe	t al., ndant(s)	RULE 26(f) REPORT OF PARTIES (to be filed no fewer than seven (7) days prior to the Rule 16 Conference)		
1.	Pursuant to F.R. Civ.P. 26(f), a	a meeting was he	eld on Monday, Oct. 1, 2012 and was attended by:		
	Gary Broadbent	, counsel for	plaintiff(s) [all]		
	Mark Stemm	, counsel for	plaintiff(s)		
	David Marburger	, counsel for	defendant(s) [all]		
		, counsel for	defendant(s)		
2.	Consent to Magistrate Judge	e. The parties:			
	unanimously consent to 28 U.S.C. 636 (c).	the jurisdiction of	of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to		
	do not unanimously conpursuant to 28 U.S.C. 63		iction of the United States Magistrate Judge		
3.	<b>Initial Disclosures</b> . The partie	es:			
	have exchanged the initi	al disclosures re	quired by Rule 26(a)(1);		
	will exchange such discl	losures by			
	are exempt from such di	sclosures under	Rule 26(a)(1)(E).		
	X have agreed not to make initial disclosures.				
4.	Jurisdiction and Venue				
	a. Describe any contested i jurisdiction and/or (3) venue:	issues relating to	: (1) subject matter jurisdiction, (2) personal		
	None				

	/a				
c.					
A	Amendments to Pleading and/or Joinder of Parties				
a.	Recommended date for filing motion/stipulation to amend the pleadings or to add				
ac	dditional parties: Fri., Jan. 19, 2013				
b.	If class action, recommended date for filing motion to certify the class:				
R	ecommended Discovery Plan				
a. di	a. Describe the <b>subjects</b> on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery that each party will need:				
_	lease see exhibit 1 to this Rule 26(f) form for the parties' detailed recommended discovery lan				
b. Ri	What <b>changes</b> should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federales of Civil Procedure or the local rules of this Court?				
	The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically ored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced:				
c.					
st	he parties don't expect issues to arise in electronic discovery, although they may engage in i				

party would decide which of those records to produce to the demanding party, and would do so within a time deadline. The parties would ask the court to enter an order that incorporates this

procedure. The parties would split the fee of the forensic electronic discovery firm, subject to constraints on the amount of the fee.		
	ase presents the following issues relating to claims of <b>privilege or of protection as</b> ration materials:	
raise some	is is a libel suit against the press, it naturally implicates the potential for the press to discovery privileges, such as state shield laws and qualified First Amendment At this early stage, the parties don't know whether those privileges will apply, or be	
i.	Have the parties agreed on a procedure to assert such claims <b>AFTER</b> production?	
x		
	Yes	
	Yes, and the parties ask that the Court include their agreement in an Order.	
	ify the discovery, if any, that can be <b>deferred</b> pending settlement discussion and/or of potentially dispositive motions:	
Please see o	exhibit 1 to this Rule 26(f) form for the parties' detailed recommended discovery	
f. The p	parties recommend that discovery should proceed in <b>phases</b> , as follows:	
Yes. Please discovery p	see exhibit 1 to this Rule 26(f) form for the parties' detailed recommended plan.	
	ribe the areas in which <b>expert testimony</b> is expected and indicate whether each expert cially retained within the meaning of F.R.Civ.P.26(a)(2):	

	i. Recommended date for making <b>primary expert designations</b> :					
	After the close of damages discovery					
ii. Recommended date for making <b>rebuttal expert designations</b> :						
	30 days after the primary expert designation					
h.	Recommended disco	overy <b>completion date</b>	Please see exhibit 1 to this Rule 26(f) form for the parties' detailed recommended discovery plan			
Dis	positive Motion(s)					
a.	Recommended date for filing dispositive motions:Tues., Feb. 19, 2013					
Set	ettlement Discussions					
	Has a settlement de	mand heen made?	N 44 0			
a.	rius u settrement des	c o n h	Settlement A response? conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete nas been offered or demanded yet			
а. b.		c o n h	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete nas been offered or demanded yet			
		c o n h <u>o</u> tlement demand can be	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete nas been offered or demanded yet			
b. с.	Date by which a sett	tlement demand can be ponse can be made:	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete nas been offered or demanded yet			
b. c.	Date by which a sett  Date by which a resp  tlement Week Refere	tlement demand can be ponse can be made:	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete nas been offered or demanded yet			
b. c.	Date by which a sett  Date by which a resp  tlement Week Refere	tlement demand can be ponse can be made:	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete has been offered or demanded yet			
b. c.	Date by which a sett  Date by which a resp  tlement Week Reference earliest Settlement Ween	tlement demand can be ponse can be made:  ral  eek referral reasonably	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete has been offered or demanded yet			
b. c. Sett	Date by which a sett  Date by which a resp  tlement Week Reference earliest Settlement Week  March 20	tlement demand can be ponse can be made:  ral eek referral reasonably Settlement W	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete has been offered or demanded yet  e made:  likely to be productive is the Veek			
b. c. Sett	Date by which a sett  Date by which a resp  tlement Week Refere earliest Settlement We  March 20  June 20	tlement demand can be ponse can be made:  ral eek referral reasonably Settlement W	conversation has occurred, but nothing concrete has been offered or demanded yet  e made:  likely to be productive is the  Veek  Veek			

Gt.	
Signatures:	
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s):	Attorney(s) for Defendant(s):
s/ Gary Broadbent	s/ David Marburger
Ohio Bar# 0083876	Ohio Bar# _ 0025747
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for all defendants
s/ Mark Stemm	
Ohio Bar# 0023146	Ohio Bar#
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar#	Ohio Bar#
Trial Attorney for	Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar#	Ohio Bar#

Trial Attorney for

Case: 2:12-cv-00767-GCS-NMK Doc #: 18 Filed: 10/02/12 Page: 5 of 5 PAGEID #: 82

Trial Attorney for